

Learning Correspondences For Relative Pose Estimation

Guided Research

Marc Benedí San Millán Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Matthias Nießner



Problem



- Input is a pair of RGB-D frames
- Estimate relative camera position







Motivation

- Iterative Closest Point (ICP) [Besl & McKay, 92]
 - Point cloud alignment
 - Two steps
 - Data association
 - Transformation estimation
 - Converges to a good alignment if starting positions are 'close enough'
 - Problem: it doesn't converge otherwise
- Model that provides initial alignment







Direct Regression approaches



- PoseNet [Kendall et al, 15]
 - Use CNN encoder and FC regresor to estimate the absolute pose
 - Multiple approaches extended this idea for relative pose estimation



RelPoseNet[Melekhov et al, 17]



Method - Overview



Method - Correspondence and Visibility Predictor



Method - Correspondence and Visibility Predictor

• $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3} \times \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3} \times \mathbb{R}^{H \times W} \to \mathbb{R}^{H \times W} \times \mathbb{R},$ $\varphi(I_1, I_2, \mathcal{H}_q) \to (\hat{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{v})$

•
$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i} \Phi_{bce}(w_{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma_{sg}(\mathcal{H}) - \mathcal{H}_{gt})) + \lambda_{nll} \sum_{i} \Phi_{nll}(w_{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma_{sm}(\mathcal{H}) - \mathcal{H}_{gt}))$$

• $w_{\mathcal{H}}(p) = 1 + 10G(m; \sigma = 7)(p)$

•
$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{i} \Phi_{bce} \left(\hat{v} - v \right)$$

DeepDeform	[Božič et al,	19]
------------	---------------	-----

2					1	1	1
3	6	7			1	1	1
4	7	11	7		1	1	1
3	6	7			1	1	1
2				2	1	1	1
1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1



Method - Correspondence Weighting





Method - Differentiable Weighted Procrustes

- XBack-projected visible query pixels
- Y Back-projected predicted matches
- $\bullet \ W {\rm Predicted \ weights}$

•
$$\hat{R}, \hat{t} = argmin_{R,t} \sum w_i ||x_i - (Ry_i + t)||_2, R \in SO(3), t \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

•
$$\mathcal{L}_{align} = ||R_p^T R_{gt} - I|| + ||t_p - t_{gt}||$$



Training pipeline



ScanNet [Dai et al, 17]



Results - Correspondence Prediction

ORB



SIFT



Model





Results - Correspondence Prediction

ORB



SIFT



Model



Results - Correspondence Prediction

• Distance between ground truth and predicted correspondences



ORB[Rublee et al, 11], SIFT[Lindeberg et al, 12]

Results - Visibility Prediction



• Predicted matches





(x) - not visible, (?) - unknown

• Accuracy on validation dataset: 0.83



• Distance between ground truth and predicted correspondences



Real-time RGB-D camera relocalization[Glocker et al, 13], RelPoseNet[Melekhov et al, 17]

Relative Poses



• Our relative poses

• Relative poses from 7-scenes dataset





• Predicted matches

• Ground truth matches



- (**x**) not visible
- (**?**) unknown

Results - 3D Reconstruction



• Comparison of ICP, model and ICP with predicted pose as initial guess



Results - 3D Reconstruction



- Target frame (left)
- Source frame (right)
- Predicted correspondences



Results - 3D Reconstruction



Ground truth Model + ICP Model ICP

- Target frame (green)
- Source frame (blue)

Conclusions



- We proposed a method for pairwise relative pose estimation
- It outperforms ICP for 3D scene alignment
- It helps avoiding local minima for ICP (better global solution when combined)

Future Work



- Correspondence weighting
- End to end
- Dense correspondences (for all pixels)



Questions





Appendix



Dataset

- We used ScanNet dataset
- For image id = i, pairs [(i, i + 20), (i, i + 50), (i, i + 80)] generated

Correspondence prediction Visibility prediction

- Train
 - 380 scenes 0
 - 0
 - 3.5M visibile matches
- Validation
 - 90 scenes 0
 - 20k pairs of frames 0
 - 800k visible matches 0

- 90 scenes 0
- 80k pairs of frames 0 20k pairs of frames
 - 2M pairs of matches (800k 0
 - visible, 1.2M occluded)
 - Validation

Train

- 10 scenes
- 2k pairs of frames 0
- 220k matches (90k visible, 0 130k occluded)

Correspondence weighting

- Train
 - 1201 scenes 0
 - 307k pairs of 0 frames
- Validation
 - 312 scenes
 - 80k pairs of 0 frames





Training Details

	Correspondence prediction	Visibility prediction	Correspondence weighting*	End-to-end*
Learning rate	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Momentum	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Weight decay	1e-5	1e-5	1e-5	1e-5
Batch size	32	32	32	32
Iterations	60k	60k	-	-
Learning rate decay by 0.1	30k	53k	-	-
Training time	21h	14h	-	-



References

- [Besl & McKay ,92] P. J. Besl and N. D. McKay, "A method for registration of 3-D shapes," in IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 239-256, Feb. 1992, doi: 10.1109/34.121791.
- [Kendall et al, 15] Kendall, Alex, Matthew Grimes, and Roberto Cipolla. "Posenet: A convolutional network for real-time 6-dof camera relocalization." Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. 2015.
- [Melekhov et al, 17] Melekhov, Iaroslav, et al. "Relative camera pose estimation using convolutional neural networks." International Conference on Advanced Concepts for Intelligent Vision Systems. Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [Božič et al, 19] Bozic, Aljaz, et al. "Deepdeform: Learning non-rigid rgb-d reconstruction with semi-supervised data." Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2020.
- [Choy et al, 20] Choy, Christopher, Wei Dong, and Vladlen Koltun. "Deep global registration." Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2020.
- [Wang & Solomon, 19] Wang, Yue, and Justin M. Solomon. "Deep closest point: Learning representations for point cloud registration." Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 2019.
- [Dai et al, 17] Dai, Angela, et al. "Scannet: Richly-annotated 3d reconstructions of indoor scenes." Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2017.
- [Glocker et al, 13] Glocker, Ben, et al. "Real-time RGB-D camera relocalization." 2013 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR). IEEE, 2013.